

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

23879 7590 EXAMINER HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY FO BOX 2772400, 3404 F. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 2182	JCATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY PO BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 2182	10/650,652	08/28/2003	Hahn Vo	200300017-1	7276
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 ARTUNIT PAPER N 2182	HEWLETT PAČKARD COMPANY PO BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			EXAMINER	
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 ARTUNIT PAPER S 2182				YU, HENRY W	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVER				2182	
NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVER					
08/28/2008 FLECT					DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/650.652 VO. HAHN Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit HENRY YU 2182

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

This application is abandoned in view of:
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 22 January 2008. The proper received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three month from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice Allowance (PTOL-85).
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court revie of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:
See attached sheet.
/Tariq Hafiz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2182
Politions to review under 27 CED 4.197(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the helding of chandrament under 27 CED 4.191, should be promptly filed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Examiner called the attorney of record, Mr. Nick Patel (Attorney No. 57,365), concerning the status of Application No. 10/650,652 (Attorney Docket No. 200300017-1) on August 25, 2008, more than six months since the last action on the merits was mailed. Mr. Patel stated that no action has been taken by the Applicant as it pertains to the application, and hence from the Office's viewpoint the application has been abandoned.